Methodology of Coyuntural Analysis

Notebook # 8: The Problems of Foresight or The Analysis of the Stages in the Conjuncture

Part Two

GENERAL INTRODUCTION OF THE SERIES

This material that you have in your hands is the product of a practice and reflection of many years of work. As a product of this process, we in SIPRO arrived to this systemization with the contribution of many people and with the valuable collaboration of Enrique Valencia who made the basic text of this edition possible. From his document we try to be loyal to the methodological process he plants in a difficult theme, but essential for those who carry out a labor of education and accompaniment to social processes and the reality of our country.

These materials are aimed at contributing to all of those people from the NGO's, promoters, advisors, students, professionals, educators who accompany processes of popular education and of social organizations, and for those intellectuals who produce coyuntural analysis.

The notebooks we present here are a basic text, a contribution that does not signify the last word over this subject. There is a lot still to be said, proposed, and written over it. For many, the approaches and concepts can be debatable, questionable and anachronistic. We are in agreement over that and that is what it is about: generate a reflection and understanding that begins to find new roads and horizons in this galloping reality.

The content of these notebooks can be used in multiple forms depending on the interest. It can easily be part of an extensive course, used for a more deep and focused discussion on the theme, or it can be used as a tool for consulting. It does exempt its readers from the task of going into depth, questioning, criticizing, connecting, proposing changes and even less so of the challenge of sharing in a more accessible way if the theme is deeply comprehended. This would be the central objective of our proposal.

In the face of the disordered reality in which we live, we see the necessity of stopping to analyze it with the objective of accompanying the historical process of change and be participants of it. That is why in *Servicios Informativos Procesados*, A.C., we revisit this document and we retransmit it for those actors who want to be an active part of their own history.

We hope that the systemization of these notebooks can be a modest contribution and useful for the best development of the analysis of coyuntura.

Gustavo E. Castro Soto

Table of Contents

Introduction	page 5
Problems of Prevision or Analysis in Scenarios in the Coyuntura	
A. Placing the Problem B. The Concepts of Prevision, prophecy and scenarios C. Criteria for the Construction of Scenarios	14
Analytical Index	47
Bibliography	48

Problems of Prevision or Analysis of Scenarios in the Coyuntura

A. Placing the Problem

We have already shown in the coyuntural analysis as a study **in and of the present** it is necessary to include the **future**:

As an anticipation of the posible transformation and conservation of social relations.

With what we have developed so far, we can recognize **projects of transformation and conservation of the fundamental social relations that structure social formations.**

Page 8

The **projects of transformation** involve two things:

- 1. the **destruction**??? of a domination/hegemony and
- 2. the **structuring** of new relations of power

The **projects of conservation** involve two things:

- 1. the **defense**¹ of fundamental social relations and
- 2. **maintaining** the same fundamental social relations (not imobilization nor passivism toward the dominant/hegemonic class)

Page 9

Example 1

For example, we can discuss the confrontation between political parties in their struggle for certain projects.

El Partido Revolucionario Institucional (PRI) wants to sustain a conservation project, whose characteristic is to be a State Party with a politics directed towards the working sector, campesino/peasant, magisterial class, etc., that would protect a political economy that reinforces the neoliberal project, privatization of the economy, control of the electoral apparatus as a guarantee of the perpetuation of power in defense of the interests of the hegemonic block in the country and in alliance with other economic and political groups or parties.

On the other hand, El Partido de la Revolucion Democratica (PRD), the EZLN, campesinos, indigenous peoples, unions, other parties and the forces of opposition, intend to create a transformation project involving the deconstruction of the hegemonic block. The project is characterized by the struggle to modify NAFTA,

-

¹ See Maccichi, 1977: 110-111

renegotiate government debts, change social politics relating to all sectors, reinforce municipality power, achieve social justice, peace, democracy, etc. This way, allies are created in order to achieve the transformation.

It is necessary to clarify and distinguish between the **project**, whether it has to do with conservation or transformation, and the **allies**, **tactics**, **strategies**, and **scenarios** that are used to achieve it.

Page 10, 11

When **Gramsci** speaks of the concept of "**passive revolution**" he refers to the dominant classes recognizing and ceding restorations that are a part of demands from below.

Example

1. The appeals of society to the PRI are oriented on various levels: better salaries, eliminating the state party, less taxes, increased municipal participation and autonomy, a new federalism, justice, peace, changes in the electoral law that guarantee equitable elections, debt renegotiations, fiscal modifications, etc., etc.,

In this manner:

To the EZLN, the PRI offers social investment, opportunites to enter political life, etc. On the other hand, intimidation, militarization, etc.

To the PRD, the PRI offers some municipalities "dialogue", etc. On the other hand, assassinations of political militants.

To the PAN, the PRI offers some states, participation in the government, etc. On the other hand, compromising agreements with the government.

Businessmen and women are offered modifications and adaptations to the General Work Law, credits, etc. On the other hand, devaluations, fiscal control etc.

To foreign investors they offer easier investment, industrial stockholding, sales of government assets, etc.

The people are offered some "heads" who pary assassins and are responsible for corruption, etc. On the other hand, assassinations of members of popular organizations, tax increases, etc.

To the media, they offer "freedom" of the press, tolerance, etc. On the other hand, assassinations of journalists, increases in the cost of production, etc.

To the PRI they offer independence with respect to the government, an end to tokenistic appointments, an end to centralism, etc. On the other hand, the maintanence of state structuralized power, protection of the corrupt and the political "dinosaurs", etc.

In any manner, despite these modifications or as the government calls them, "structural adjustments", the dominant hegemonic block is not altered substantially, they only incorporate some demands in order to achieve legitimacy and to sustain their hold on power.

Because of this, we arrive at the **nodal aspect**

COYUNTURAL ANALYSIS ASKS FOR...

The **correlation of present forces** between these projects (past and present)

AND

Feasible correlations in the coming future.

It is the analysis of potentialities of totality:

Is it possible to critically sustain this THEORETICAL ANTICIPATION?

In this booklet we will discuss and debate in relation to the **anticipation of possibilities** for transformation/conservation.

Page 13

QUESTIONS

- 1. Explain with your own words the central question of Coyuntural analysis.
- 2. Give examples of conservation projects, what characterizes them, their allies, and which strategies and discourses do they focus on and create.
- 3. Give examples of transformation projects, what characterizes them, their allies, and which strategies and discourses do they address and create.

Page 14

B. The concepts of prevision, prophecy and scenarios.

Theoretical anticipation can be understood in 3 ways:

- 1. Act of prophecy
- 2. Control of variables
- 3. Prevision

Let's take a look at each one of these:

1. Act of Prophecy

This can be understood as a product of minds that are especially gifted, clairvoyant spirits or very intuitive minds. It is the type of readings of the future in a crystal ball, going beyond the social sciences, and with a system of magic prediction²

2. Control of the variables

It is the type of variable controls of natural science experiments: if "a" is given, then necessarily we have "b".

These two theoretical anticipations we can characterize as

"closed previsions":

The exact prediction of an event that absolutely will happen.

This is not possible as a scientific method of *coyuntural* analysis³, because:

Page 16

The analyst is confronted with an object of study whose variables cannot be controlled as if it were an experiment in a vacuum.

In the coyuntura, many imponderable happenings present themselves.

Examples:

New Developments

The will of different actors many times is unknown by the investigator New scientific and technological discoveries

The influence of unforseen natural phenomena

The variation of forces in the actual moment of analysis independent of the will of the analyst.

The suprising apparition of previously passive actors.

Page 17

For that reason, one should not demand that the coyuntural analyst always have an accurate aim of closed or prophetic prevision. The analyst is not a fortune teller.

To uphold such a position or demand on the contrary would be ignoring the complexity of social dynamism of the present.

Nevertheless, if we strip coyuntural analysis of all **capacity of prevision**, it would simply signify <u>a break with the possibility for</u>:

*conscious and transformative practice

_

² Jimenez

³ See ididem: 6 and 7, Luengo, 1982:296

*projecting the future and
*effectively carrying it out in the present

Page 18

3. Prevision

"The practical way of creating a collective will", based on conscious action of future potentialities.

The **future** included in *coyuntural* analysis:

Is not the only future, nor is it predetermined forever⁵.

It is a future open to displacements in the correlation of forces.

The **contradictory and unfinished totality** (a society that is being constructed through the struggle of contradictions) therefore contains different possibilities.

Page 19

Because of this,

Coyuntural analysis is:

The diagnostic of the potentialities, the feasibility and the conversion into an actual event.

This **future** corresponds to:

Open prevision:

The theoretical anticipation, in the present, of multiple potentialites, especially the most feasible to fufill.⁶

Page 20

Therefore, the implicit relation is:

The case in which "a" coincides with "c", Probably "b" will follow, Depending on different variables.

⁴ Gramsci, 1975c:139

⁵ Jimenez, 1987:13

⁶ Ibidem, 6.

If "a" coincides with "e", Probably "f".

This open prevision, that includes an ample conception of power, is possible thanks to the identification of the different "fundamental and permanent elements in the process".

Page 21

In other words, the identification of the **tendencies** as much:

In the **actual moment**>>>>>the direction of the relationed actual forces In the **future**>>>>>>>the possibility that they remain

To **forsee** is:

To analyze the movement that is given from the past and present and that aims for future possibilities.

A *coyuntural* **prevision** is concreted in the construction of **predictable scenarios** using a point of departure from **present scenarios**.

We underline the plural in order to identify the

Page 22

Open prevision: Scenarios

Constructing a **possible scenario** is not to expound a guess, rather it is to anticipate diverse possibilities using as a point of departure the actual dynamisms.

The scenarios:

Are the concurrences of diverse actors and events in correlation with given forces.

The **present scenarios**>>>>>refers to the effective disposition of forces in the present moment.

The **predictable scenarios**>>>>refers to the dispositions that can develop.⁸

Page 23

⁷ Gramsci, 1985a:63; Luengo, 1982:296

_

⁸ Gallardo, 1988:103

Since the previous booklets, we have stated that our methodological proposal refers to the coyuntural analysis of a Nation.

Because of this, the scenarios en this analysis refer to:

The disposition that is encountered in the correlation of forces of a Nation.

We utilize the concept of scenario in a different manner than does Gallardo, who identifies scenario with "a space or place where the struggle is developed". This concept can be more useful for regional, local or sectoral analysts.

Page 24

The closeness of these last ones can be considered according to **E. Jimenez**, on three levels⁹:

- a. The determination of posible futures.
- b. The delimitations of the feasible scenarios.
- c. The selection of the most feasible scenarios.
- d. The delimitation of the most favorable scenario of the strategy it is defending.

This is not the most feasible necessarily, but the collective will can direct itself to convert it not only in the most feasible, but even in the actual scenario.

Page 25

The **feasibility** that we are talking about is not a absolute determinism. There can be important changes thanks to an intelligent collective will.

Reconsidering the indicated outline from page 24, the question would be:

How is it possible for "a", "c" to concurr in order for "b" to occur effectively?

In periods of the relative permanence of the equilibrium of forces, the scenarios most feasible can be an extension of the present. The prevision can have better success.

FUTURE>>>>

Page 26

<u>In moments of sharp transformations</u> of forces, the characterization of the feasibitly???are made difficult by the continuous changes and require a special analytical position.

Questions:	
⁹ Jimenez, 1987:21	

- 1. Explain in your own words what you understand theoretical anticipation to be.
- 2. Explain in your own words the 3 modes of theoretical anticipation.
- 3. What did you understand "closed prevision" and "open prevision" to be?
- 4. Give a few examples of scenarios, identifying the actors that participate and the correlation of forces tendency.

C. Criteria for the Construction of Scenarios

On the basis of the previous elements, we propose **5 criteria** for elaborating **scenarios**.

5 Criteria:

1. The departure point is the actual scenario of the present.

This actual scenario of the present is made up of the following four elements we are about to define:

- a. **the forces of correlation>>>**its relative equilibrium and block domination/hegemony.
- b. the tendencies or directions>>towards those that prompt correlation
- c. **the opportunities>>>>>** that open themselves and the dangers that hover above each sector.
- d. **the actions**>>>>>>>>that implement the **actors** principle infronting the correlation.

With this collective of solidly, founded **hypothesis**, the planning-prevision will have a <u>realistic</u>, <u>fundamental departure point</u>.

It is necessary to call atention to the present reality if we want to transform it. This is the intelligent pessimism and willful optimism 10.

Page 29

2. For a serious prevision, first examine the most common epistemologic obstacles.

In *coyuntural* **prevision**, it is very important no to guide yourself by:

(CAN'T find the translation)

MERAS intuitons: (intuitionism)
Desires solely: (willfulism)?????

Fears: (**defeatism** or terrorism of the will)

It is also important not to confuse so much feasibility with desires and fears of the analysist. For this criteria, it would be helpful to revise booklets one and three.

¹⁰ Gramsci, 1977:15.

Intuitionism:

*We do not propose to ignore intuitive capability.

*However, we must question the validity of prevision intutive systems theory: permanently and systematically guided by informal presupositions of every day life.¹¹

*Claims to save oneself from methodical considerations¹².

*It is the path to fall into prospective error, not taking into consideration diverse possibilities methodically.

Page 31

Willfulism???

*Projects "subverted present", in the future.

*Or the present victories are generalized as total victories.

*The lack of necessary things and defeats of today transform themselves from desires, mythically, into wealth and victories.

*"the struggle remains in dreams and expires in dreams" but reality remains intact, or worse yet, it accelarates defeat.

However, nor can we assume absence of will.

What is a **strategy**, if not **collective will**?

Page 32

But efficient will, "intelligent will", is part of the actual conditions and warns/prevents??? in order to transform them.

On the contrary, **Defeatism**:

*Will is generalized in the future as always being incapable of generating transformative initiatives.

*It is the best cultive stock of weak historical politics that leave everything in the mechanism's hands or to simple development called **objective contradictions**.

*It does not develop complex predictions practically, with different possibile forces of **correlation**; rather it creates pesimistic prophetism.

¹¹ Jimenez, 1987:7. ¹² Bourdieu, 1975:85.

¹³ Gramsci, 1977:15.

¹⁴ Ibidem: 16.

¹⁵ Ibidem: 17.

We do not want to say that defeat should dissappear as a possible complex and open **prevision**.

Within the "struggle, defeat should always be foreseen" as a possibility which demands a collective of alternatives.

For **example**, what can be done in the case of the loss of leadership in a movement?

It would be very useful explicitness and critique of personal desires and fears in regards to the struggle and its possible defeats in order to analyze scenarios.

Page 34

3. To propose a collective of possible scenarios, construct them mentally.

In order to do so, it is necessary to have a base of the following variables:

These scenarios could, then, be characterized in the context of the struggle for:

DOMINATION/HEGEMONY AND TRANSFORMATION/CONSERVATION

Page 35

The idea is not to construct scenarios until infinity, rather a collective generally considered as feasible in short and long terms.

The imagination of possibilities is not a logical excersize, to see how many there are, rather:

A prevision of the hypothetically appointed???, like those that have larger margens to make themselves actual (this is what we call feasibility in order to distinguish it from simple posibility)

This criteria can concrete itself in a double entrance to a scene for each principle actor, and can be either present (those that en the real coyuntura are protagonists) or possible (those that interupt en the next scene according to the analyzed tendencies).



^{*}principle actors (present or potential)

^{*}possible events or actions

^{*}changes in the correlation of forces

We propose to elaborate the following table for this criteria:

	*	A	В	C	D	E
1	* *					
2	*	A1	B2			
3	*		DZ	C3		
4	*				D4	
5	*					E5
	*					

In the **Verticals** (1,2,3)>>>>>>>

It can be noted the possible events and actions considered transcendental.

In the **Horizontal** (A,B,C)>>>>>

Are the feasible scenarios characterized by transformations in the forces of correlation.

It can be noted the modification of corresponding forces.

Page 37

Furthermore, for **example**, in **scenario A**, the actions **1** and **3** could be considered and **2,4,5**, could be discarded.

Each table corresponds to a protagonist with the same number of **actions** and **scenarios**.

Therefore, **scenario** A can be compared for **protagonists** X, Y, and Z. And so on.

If the **protagonists** (local actors) are few, for example three, the crosses which form the tables **A1**, **B2**, **C3**, can be divided into three sections. That way each table has the complete scenarios.

Upon finishing, there will be a collective of the most important **possible scenarios** (or feasible scenarios).

The next step is to characterize each scenario in context of the struggle for domination/hegemony.

Page 38

4. Choose the most feasible scenario according to the predictable development of the real tendencies.

It is advisable in this moment, in a special form, the <u>epistemological vigilance</u> facing **intuitionism**, **willfulism**???, and **defeatism**.

This **scenario** needs to be analyzed more at length, especially when referring to the consequences for each **protagonist**.

How does it affect their strength?

How does it affect their strategy?

What tactics could each protagonist implement?

Page 39

Of course, the **scenario** should be confronted, in this manner, with its own project in which the analyst inscribes it to be and concludes the alternatives on the path to their **strategy**.

How can one face this scenario in order to accumulate more strength or lose the least possible?

OR

So that the adversary accumulates the least possible?

The scenarios will begin changing according to the *coyunturas* and the moderation/measure in which the social practice advances begin opening new possibilities.

Page 40

Example:

1. In many contested elections, the modification of the forces of correlation in the electoral balance can have oportunistic origens, in political errors of the adversary, in a good, orquestrated campaign for the mass media, etc.

Winning the sympathy of the voters is the objective, and the political parties take to the streets in order to expound their government proposals.

After the elections, the supposed irregularities of the electoral process can bring to change the struggle's scenario towards the oficial requests to refute the results.

After not receiving a favorable response, the struggle to obtain a popular, representative position in the government, the people manifest in the streets, in marches, meetings, townsquares with hopes to negotiate.

Page 41

Example

2. Workers fight to modify the rules of the game boss-worker, where better salaries and compensation are demanded.

The sindicate dialogues with the owners of the factory. After not receiving an answer, they go to the political parties chambers who assume their demands with the ends of promoting legislative modifications in favor of the working class.

Since there is no response, the workers take to the streets or strike in order to bring the negotiation to favorable grounds.

Page 42

You finish the story: are they repressed?, do they gain alliances?, do they acheive their demands?, will they touch the root of the problem?, etc.

Who is the principle enemy of the workers?: the state party?, the enforced legislation?, the bosses confederations?

What is the actual, possible scenario in order to attack the problem?, who are the alliances?

EXAMPLE

3. The peasants (campesinos) are looking for better life conditions in the country. The beaurocracy, the corruption, the boss (cacique) interests, drug trafficers, etc., keeps them from access to better conditions in the country. They are looking to legally join forces in order to defend their rights and to have access to better forms of organizing and financing.

Despite all of this, they do not gain access. They change their struggle's scenario and their strategy, tactics, alliances, etc... You finish the story...

Page 43

5 Identify between the feasible scenarios the most favorable and most feasible for your own organization's strategy or block and compare it with the most feasible scenario in general terms.

Sometimes there will be a distance between the two, at other times they will coincide.

- A. If they are distant, it requires a balance of forces in order to conclude if it is possible to try to modify the circumstances, so that the most favorable will effectively be the most feasible.
- B. In case the outcome is positive, it could guide itself towards the identification of alternatives that are on the same path.

C. In case the outcome is negative, identify alternatives in order to enfront the situation.

Page 44

The implicit criteria is that there are posibilities to **choose alternatives** that are on the same path as the most favorable and feasible scenarios, in other words, one that permits, given the exisisting circumstances the largest accumulation of really feasible forces, in order to direct the success of the strategy.

In other words:

It is not only possible to construct *coyunturas*, rather it is necessary, departing from a prevision of scenarios, from the "strategic preparation" that "tends to reduce the imponderable factors to zero" and the generation of a "permanently organized force and predisposed over the long term, that can make advances when a situation is judged to be favorable."

Page 45

The previous reinforces the idea that social practice is necessary, the PRAXIS, in order to generate projects.

Sinthesizing, we can present the following outline:

THE PRECISION OF THE REAL SCENARIO (FORCES OF CORRELATION)
>>>>>>

MAKING EXPLICIT THE EPISTEMOLOGICAL OBSTACLES OF PREVISON

PROPOSING A COLLECTIVE OF POSSIBLE SCENARIOS (COLLECTIVE OF ACTORS—ACTIONS AND CHANGES IN THE FORCES OF CORRELATION)

DELIMITING THE MOST FEASIBLE SCENARIO (BASED ON REAL TENDENCIES)

>>>>>

DELIMITING THE MOST FAVORABLE AND FEASIBLE SCENARIO >>>>>>

STABELIZING ALTERNATIVES FOR THE PATH MOST FAVORABLE AND FEASIBLE.

Page 46

_

¹⁷ Gramsci, 1975a: 83.

¹⁸ Ibidem: 75-76.

QUESTIONS

- 1. Explain in your own words what you understand "intuitionalism" to be. Give a few real examples.
- 2. Explain in your own words what you understand "willfulism"????? to be. Give a few real examples.
- 3. Explain in your own words what you understand "defeatism" to be. Give a few real examples.
- 4. What is the difference between "principle actors" and "secondary actors"? Give a few examples.
- 5. Give a few examples of modifications in the forces of correlations that you have seen lately.
- 6. Explain in your own words what you understand "tendency" to be. Give a few examples.
- 7. Elaborate an excercise of application of the five criteria in order to define the scenarios.

Page 47

ANALYTICAL INDEX